STP Selection Matrix

Project Name:

Barre City/ Barre Town MEGC M 6000(11)

Version 5/8/2017

Discharge Point:

1

Step 1: Is the Water Quality Treatment Standa Infiltration Basins/ Trenches/ Chambers Drywells Bioretention (designed to infiltrate) Filters (designed to infiltrate) Reforestation ¹ 1. These practices do not require specific justification due to Step 2: Assess the feasibility of using Tier 3 Complete the matrix below in its entirety for each	Simple Disco Disconnectio Dry Swales (Permeable P to feasibility limit	nnection In to Filter S designed to avement ¹	trips and V			Wing Tier	No further ation	s?
Tier 1 Practices are available to meet the Quality Treatment Standard. If using on practices, stop here. If additional site contexist other than those listed here, procee	Infiltration Basin/ Trench/ Chamber	Drywell	Bioretention (infiltrating)	Simple Disconnection	Disconnection to Filter Strips or Vegetated Buffer	Dry Swales (infiltrating)	Filters (infiltrating)	
Practice Availability for Water Quality Trea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Feasibility Restriction	Response		Practio	ce Availat	bility Base	ed on Restr	ictions	
Do underlying soils have an infiltration rate of less than 0.2 inches per hour, as confirmed by field geotechnical tests or are classified as Hydrologic Soil Group D according to the NRCS Soil survey?) Yes () N	o Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Will runoff to the practice include discharge from a hotspot landuse or activity?	🔾 Yes 🖲 I	lo Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
Is the site a brownfield or contaminated site where infiltration is restricted or where infiltration would increase the threat of pollution migration, as confirmed in writing by the Department's Waste Management and Prevention Division?	🔿 Yes 🔘 M	^{lo} Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
Is the slope of the vegetated buffer greater than 15%	🔾 Yes 🔘 I	lo n/a	n/a	n/a	Available	Available	n/a	n/a
Is the slope of the filter strip greater than 15%	🔾 Yes	No n/a	n/a	n/a	Available	n/a	n/a	n/a
Is the slope of the vegetated buffer greater than 8%	🔾 Yes 💿	No n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Available	n/a	n/a
Are natural slopes where an infiltration trench or basin could be sited greater than 15%	🔾 Yes	No Available	n/a	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Bottom of practice would be below seasonal high water table	🔾 Yes 🔘	No Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Seasonal high water table or bedrock would be less than 1 foot from the bottom of the practice.	🔾 Yes	No Available	Available	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Seasonal high water table or bedrock would be less than 3 feet from the bottom of the practice.	🔾 Yes	No Available	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Will the practice be located within 75 feet down- gradient of a wastewater disposal area system, within 35 feet up-gradient or 75 feet down- gradient of a wastewater disposal system?	⊖ Yes	• No	⁹ Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Will the practice be located within 150 feet of a drinking water source located in an unconfined aquifer?	🔿 Yes	() N	o Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Will the practice be located within 100 feet of a drinking water source located in bedrock or a confined unconsolidated aquifer?	⊖ Yes	• No	• Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Will the practice be located within Zone 1 or Zone 2 of a public community groundwater source protection area?	⊖ Yes	• N	oAvailable	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Will the practice be located within 200 feet of non-transient non-community groundwater source?	⊖ Yes	() N	oAvailable	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Will the practice violate any restrictions of the Vermont Wastewater and Potable Water Supply Rules, or their replacement?	⊖ Yes	() N	oAvailable	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available

Step 3: Other feasibility constraints for remaining Tier 1 and Tier 2 practices

If, following completion of Step 2 of the STP Selection Tool there are no Tier 1 Practices available for use on the project site, designers shall consider the use of Tier 2 practices for treatment of the Water Quality Treatment Standard.

Is the Water Quality Treatment Standard entirely managed with Tier 2 Practices?

🔾 Yes 🛛 🖲 No

Provide written site specific justification below. Tier 3 Practices may be used to meet the Water Quality Treatment Standard.

If the the use of a Tier 1 or Tier 2 Practice is infeasible for reasons beyond those listed in Step 2 of the STP Selection Matrix, a designer may submit site specific detailed feasibility justification that such practices are not feasible following the guidance in Section 2.2.4.1 of the 2017 VSMM. Only after completion of the STP Selection Matrix and determination that Tier and Tier 2 Practices are infeasible shall a designer consider Tier 3 Practices or existing stormwater infrastructure for meeting the Water Quality Treatment Standard (WQTS) on the project site.

Provide written feasibilty justification below or list attachments

Public Transportation Project Classification Tool

Project Name:	Barre City/Barre Town MEGC M 6000(11)
Designer Name:	Andrew Mills, P.E.
Designer Company:	VHB
Version: 6/13/2017	

When to use this Tool: This tool is to be used for Public Transportation Projects only as defined in the manual. Complete this tool to determine the type of public transportation project. After completion of this tool, complete the appropriate Design Worksheet as instructed.

Instructions for Use: Input responses into cells filled blue. Instructions will appear in yellow box based on answers to questions. Complete instructions that appear in yellow box.

Step 1: Is the project a "public transportation project" as defined and the second state of the second state of Manual?	ned in the Vermont Stormwater Management	Yes No
Manual?		Gres Gro
Answer "Yes" or "No" using radio button.		
"Public transportation project" means a state highway project, or a linear public transportation project, such as a trail, bicycle undeveloped rights-of-way or transportation related site devel- maintenance facilities, or hangar facilities are not considered a the full suite of stormwater treatment standards in Subchapter Step 2: Will the project result in a net increase in impervious s	path, or sidewalk project. New roadways in opment projects such as park-and-ride lots, "public transportation project" and are subject to 2.0.	Proceed to Step 2
Fill in areas indicated in blue boxes below:		
Pre-Developed Impervious Surface within Site Limits:	2.65 acres	
Expanded Impervious Surface within Site Limits:	0.68 acres	
Reconstructed Impervious Surface within Site Limits:	1.10 acres	Project is classified as Redevelopment v
Existing Impervious Surface to remain within Site Limits:	1.22 acres	Expansion (Subchapter 6.1.2). Comple
Total Resulting Impervious Surface within Site Limits:	3.00 acres	Redevelopment with Expansion Worksh

Version: 6/13/2017	Project Name:	Barre City/Barre Town MEGC M 6000(11)
Redevelopment with	Discharge Point:	1
Expansion (6.1.2)		

When to use this Worksheet: This worksheet is to be used for Public Transportation Projects only as defined in Subchapter 6.0 in the manual. Before completing this worksheet, complete the Public Transportation Project Classification Tool to determine if this worksheet needs to be completed. A worksheet must be completed for each discharge point that will be regulated under the permit.

Note: This worksheet is intended to provide designers guidance for preparing designs and application materials that meet the intent of Section 6.1.2. While of this worksheet is a permit application requirement, it does not guarantee full compliance with the requirements of Section 6.1.2. The designer is ultimately responsible for preparing a design that meets the requirements included in Section 6.1.2.

Instructions for Use: Use drop down menus in "Response" column to indicate response to each question and follow directions when prompted in the "Directions" column. If "Directions" column requires a justification, provide justification in "Justifications (6.1.2)" tab. List references where required information can be found in the permit application in the "References" column. Complete one worksheet per regulated discharge point. Designer only needs to input answers or references in cells that are filled blue. All other cells (filled yellow or grey) do not require designer input, and are either calculated cells or provide instruction to the designer.

	Net Expanded Impervious Area (from Project Classification Tool):	0.35	acres	
	Complete this Worksheet.			
		Response	Directions	Reference
	Applicable Standards			
1	Will net increase in impervious surface exceed 1 acre discharging to any one receiving water?	No	Design STP to treat 100% of WQv for net increase in impervious surface. Move to Step 2.	
2	Will WQv within each receiving water be treated via acceptable STP's designed in accordance with Subchapter 4.0 of the VSMM?	Yes	Provide applicable STP worksheet as an attachment. Move to Step 3.	Attachment A3
	Site Balancing			
3	Will site balancing be required to achieve WQv treatment standard?	No	Skip steps 4-8. Move to Step 9.	
4	Can existing impervious area be treated within the project limits and within the drainage area flowing to the discharge point?	Yes	Document existing impervious area to be treated on plan. Provide location of plan within permit application in 'Reference' column to the right. Skip Steps 5 - 7. Move to Step 8.	
5	If answer to 4 is no, can site balancing be achieved within the project limits and discharging to the same receiving water via a different discharge point?			
6	If answer to 5 is no, can site balancing be achieved upstream and outside of the project limits and within the same watershed as the discharge point?			
7	If answer to 6 is no, can site balancing be achieved downstream and outside of the project limits and within the same watershed as the discharge point?			
8	Is the impervious area to be treated via site balancing under ownership or control of the applicant?	Yes	Move to Step 9.	
	Improving Outlet Conditions			
9	Will existing swale, median, or pipe outlets be retrofitted with a level spreader to distribute flow, particularly during smaller storms?	N/A		

		Response	Directions	Reference
10	Will existing swale, median, or pipe outlets be retrofitted with splash pads, energy dissipators, or plunge pools?	N/A		
	Repairing Erosion			
11	Is there rill and gully erosion within the right-of-way?	No	Move to Step 12.	
12	Is there significant erosion at inlets of existing culverts?	No	Move to Step 13.	
13	Is curbing (treated timber, asphalt, granite, concrete or built-up berms along the shoulder causing erosion at concentrated points along roadway embankments?	N/A		
14	Will removal of curbing or built-up berms to allow distributed runoff cause additional erosion or safety issues for the transportation facility?	N/A		
	Post-Construction Soil Depth and Quality Standard			
15	Are requirements in Section 6.3.1 included in the plans or specifications?	Yes	Include plans in the application detailing locations where soil depth and quality standard will be met. Move to Step 16.	Attachment A5
16	Will disturbed areas be restored to meet the Post-Construction Soil Depth and Quality Standard, and to preserve permeable (HSG A and B) soils during site construction and restoration activites?	Yes	Show locations on the plans.	Attachment A5

Project Name Barre City/Barre Town MEGC M 6000(11)

The name above will appear on all the discharge point tabs

Site Summary

Do not fill this tab out, apart from the project name and notes. It will auto-populated based on the values on the discharge point tabs. Discharge points (SN) will only show on the summary if an area has been entered on that tab. Areas listed below are those seeking permit coverage.

	51	5		
		Total	SN1	
	New	0.68	0.68	
sn	Redeveloped	1.10	1.10	
Impervious	Existing	0.00	0.00	
per	Previously			
<u></u>	Authorized	0.00	0.00	
	Total	1.78	1.78	
	Site Area	4.80	4.80	
	Latitud	e	44.18416	
	Longitu		-72.49630	
		ng Water	1	
Red	charge			
		Total	SN1	
	Required		0.0291	
	Provided		0.1000	
	Standard met?		Yes	
	Stanuaru metr	res	162	
	Notes:	Not appl	icable to R	edevelopment with Expansion projects.
Wa	ter Quality			
		Total	SN1	
	Required		0.1222	
	Provided		0.1000	
	Standard met?		No	
A r	ninimum WQ _v c	of 0.2" (P*I	R _v) is requi	red for sites with low impervious (<16.67%). This calculation has not been incorporated
	inte	o this work	kbook. Desig	gners should check that the minimum WQ $_{ m v}$ has been met for their site.
	Notes:	Project c	lassified as	s Redevelopment with Expansion. Water quality treatment has been provided
		-		a exceeding the net new impervious area.
Cha	annel Protecti	on		

1	Total	SN1	
Standard Applies?		No	
Waiver		n/a	
Method		n/a	
HCv	0.0491	0.0491	
T_{V} Provided	0.1000	0.1000	
Notes:	пот аррп	ICADIE TO R	edevelopment with Expansion projects.
	<u> </u>		
Overbank Flood	Protectio	SN1	
Standard	Applies?		
	ev Q (cfs)		
Routed, Post-De		0	
nouteu, root be			
	Waiver	n/a	
Nutra	NI - 1		a de la deserva de la Martine de la construction
Notes:	пот аррі	ICADIE TO R	edevelopment with Expansion projects.
Extreme Flood P	rotectior		
Standard	Applies?	SN1 No	
	ev Q (cfs)		
Routed, Post-De			
nouteu, rost be			
	Waiver	n/a	
Notes	Not appl	icable to R	edevelopment with Expansion projects.
General Notes			

	Information			_		1
		Project name				4
Discharge poir		r (e.g. S/N 001) eceiving water				
		1				
Latitude (decimal o		44.18416				
Longitude (decimal c		-72.49630		J		
Precipitation Data	* Preciptation	n values shall be	obtained from	NOAA Atlas 14		
Storm	WQ Storm	1 yr, 24 hr	10 yr, 24 hr	100 yr, 24 hr		
Precipitation (inches)	1.00	1.92	3.47	5.30		
Drainage Area Informatio	on					
Pre Development Land U	se (acres)					
Landuse	А	В	С	D	Total]
Grass	0.540	0.452	0.000	0.000	0.992	
Meadow	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Woods	0.482	0.591	0.000	0.000	1.073]
Existing Impervious		1.855	0.000	0.000	2.650	
Impervious previous	y authorized un	der 2002 VSMN	1 (not included	in calculations)	0.000	
Post Development Land Landuse	Use (acres) A	В	С	D	Total	%
Grass	0.523	0.450	0.000	0.000	0.973	
Meadow	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Woods New Impervious	0.276	0.554		0.000	0.000	1
		0.225	0.000	0.000	0.830	147
· · · ·	0.445	0.235	0.000	0.000		14.2
Existing for Permit			0.000		0.830 0.680	1
Existing for Permit	0.445	0.235		0.000	0.830	1
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New		0.000	0.000		0.830 0.680	0.0%
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New		0.000	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe	0.000	0.830 0.680 0.000	0.0% 25.4
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220	0.0% 25.4 22.9
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000	0.000 Existing Imper	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000	0.0% 25.4 22.9
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000	0.000 Existing Imper vious previously	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious ler 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778	0.0% 25.4 22.9
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 Imperv	0.000 Existing Imper vious previously Total In	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und npervious for Pe Net Redu	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778 0.000	0.0% 25.4 22.9 0.0%
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 Imperv	0.000 Existing Imper vious previously	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und npervious for Pe Net Redu	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778	14.2 0.0% 25.4 22.9 0.0% 0.0% 23.2
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 Imperv Red	0.000 Existing Imper vious previously Total In luced Existing Im	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und npervious for Pe Net Reduce npervious (for re	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious ler 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious edevelopment)	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778 0.000 0.332	0.0% 25.4 22.9 0.0% 0.0% 23.2
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New Standards)	0.000 Imperv Red	0.000 Existing Imper rious previously Total In luced Existing Im t development a	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und npervious for Pe Net Reduce npervious (for re	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious edevelopment) ch, so evaluatio	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778 0.000 0.332 n of the Hydrole	0.0% 25.4 22.9 0.0% 0.0% 23.2
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New Standards) WARNING: Pre develo Condition Method is not	0.000 Imperv Red pment and post appropriate wit	0.000 Existing Imper rious previously Total In luced Existing Im t development a	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und npervious for Pe Net Reduce npervious (for re	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious edevelopment) ch, so evaluatio	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778 0.000 0.332 n of the Hydrole	0.0% 25.4 22.9 0.0% 0.0% 23.2
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New Standards) WARNING: Pre develo Condition Method is not	0.000 Imperv Red pment and post appropriate wit	0.000 Existing Imper rious previously Total In luced Existing Im t development a	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und npervious for Pe Net Reduce npervious (for re	0.000 ermit Coverage oed Impervious ler 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious edevelopment) ch, so evaluatio er may consider Average Catchment	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778 0.000 0.332 n of the Hydrole HCM across dra Hydraulic	0.0% 25.4 22.9 0.0% 0.0% 23.2
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New Standards) WARNING: Pre develo Condition Method is not	0.000 Imperv Red pment and post appropriate wit	0.000 Existing Imper vious previously Total In luced Existing Im t development a thin this drainag	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und npervious for Pe Net Reduc npervious (for re areas don't mat ge area. Designe	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious ler 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious edevelopment) ch, so evaluatio er may consider Average Catchment Slope, Y (%)	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778 0.000 0.332 n of the Hydrole HCM across dra	0.0% 25.4 22.9 0.0% 0.0% 23.2
Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New Standards) WARNING: Pre develo	0.000 Imperv Red pment and post appropriate wit	0.000 Existing Imper vious previously Total In luced Existing Im t development a thin this drainag	0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe Redevelop authorized und npervious for Pe Net Reduce npervious (for re	0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious edevelopment) ch, so evaluatio er may consider Average Catchment Slope, Y (%)	0.830 0.680 0.000 1.220 1.098 0.000 4.801 1.778 0.000 0.332 n of the Hydrole HCM across dra Hydraulic	0.0% 25.4 22.9 0.0% 0.0% 23.2

Runoff Calculations			1 yr, 24-hr	10 yr, 24-hr	100 yr, 24-hr
Predeve	elopment runoff	volume (ac-ft)	0.3770	0.7573	1.2730
Pre-routed, post deve	elopment runoff	volume (ac-ft)	0.4261	0.8500	1.4153
Tier 1/Runoff Reduction	Practices				
ist all Tier 1 practices below v	vith the associate	d treatment volur	ne (T _V). The T _V	$_{\prime}$ will be applied to	o all treatment star
xcept for Green Roofs, which		charge or water q	uality credit. Ple	ease include the a	ppropriate STP
worksheet(s) with the application					1
Practice	T _v (ac-ft)	Prac	tice	T _v (ac-ft)	
Dry Swales (infiltrating)	0.100				
					-
					4
					J
Runoff Reduction Calcul	ations				
Standard	Re	WQ	СР	Q _{P10}	Q _{P100}
T _v Required (ac-ft)	-	0.1222	0.0491	0.0927	0.1423
T _v Provided (ac-ft)		0.1000	0.1000	0.1000	0.1000
T _v Remaining (ac-ft)		0.0222	0.0000	0.0000	0.0423
Standard met with HCM?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Post-Development CN		90	91	87	84
CN _{adj}	n/a	78	86	84	81
Pre-Development CN	n/a	n/a	89	84	81
Groundwater Recharge	Standard (Re)				
Standard Applicable?	🔿 Yes 💿 No	Reason recharg	•		
		(if N	lo is selected)	:	
Re _v	0.0291				
Chandrad and the Theorem					
Standard met with Tier 1	Yes				
Practices?					
Recharge Notes:					
	Not applicable	for Redevelopm	ent with Expa	nsion projects.	
		·			

Water Quality Treatment Standard (WQ)							
	(ac-ft)		A	Apply Reduction?			
WQ _v - New & Existing	0.0710	% Net Reduction	0.0%	● No ○ Yes			
WQ _{v -} Redevelopment	0.0512	% Removed Existing Impervious (Redevelopment)	23.2%	• No · Yes			
Total WQ _v	0.1222						
WQ_v met with Tier 1	0.1000	Is all impervi	ious treated by	● No			
practices	0.1000		disconnection?	Yes (WQv met)			
WQ_V to be met with Tier 2	0.0222						
and/or Tier 3 practices							
			WQ_V Provided				
	Tier 2 &	3 Water Quality Practice	(ac-ft)	Tier			
		Total WQ _v Provided (ac-ft)	0.0000	ac-ft			
		Is the WQ _v Standard met?	No				
NOTE: Add more w	ater quality pra	ctices unless site balancing is be	eing used. (Cheo	ck summary tab)			
Water Quality Notes:							
	-	fied as Redevelopment with Ex ervious (0.35 acres required, 0.6					
	increased impe	ervious (0.55 acres required, 0.6	acres imperv	ious provided.)			
Channel Protection Stan	dard (CP)						
Standard Applicable?	🔾 Yes 💿 No	Waiver (if No is selected):					
Standard Met with HCM?	Yes	The channel protection standard h condition method. Additional trea					
Provide Extended	nla	ac-ft					
Detention for:	n/a						
Warm or Cold Water	Cold	\rightarrow Provide:	12 hours o	fextended			
Fishery?	() Warm			ntion			
See the Vermont Water Qu			-)R			
<u>cold water</u>	r designations			e Extended Detention 5.4) is being used.			
			(<u>52.2.</u>				
Extended Detention STP:							
Madaling Info. When doman	trating CD compl	iance with outended detention in a	hudrologic mode	al use the CN and T			
		iance with extended detention in a er 1 practice. The CN _{Adi} takes into					
		Iculated by the watershed lag met					
				(Watershed			
CN _{Adj}	86	Post Development T _c (min)	0.0	Lag Method)			
Channel Protection Notes:	Channel Protection Notes:						
	Not applicable	for Redevelopment with Expan	sion projects.				

Overbank Flood Protection (Q _{P10})				
Standard Applicable?	🔵 Yes 💿 No	Waiver (if No is selected):		
Standard Met with HCM?	Yes	The QP10 standard has been fully	met. No additior	nal STPs are required.
STP used:				
Pre-development peak discharge rate (cfs)				
Pre-routed, post-development peak discharge rate (cfs)				
Routed, post-development peak discharge rate (cfs)				
<u>Modeling Info:</u> When demonstrating Q_{P10} compliance in a hydrologic model, use the following CN and T _c below, if the practice used to meet Q_{P10} is not itself a Tier 1 practice. The CN _{Adj} takes into account the reduction in runoff volume achieved through Tier 1 practices. The T _c is calculated by the watershed lag method using CN _{Adj} as CN'.				
Pre-Development CN (Flow- weighted composite)	84	Pre Development T _c (min)	0.0	(Watershed
CN _{Adj}	84	Post Development T _c (min)	0.0	Lag Method)
Overbank Flood Notes: Not applicable for Redevelopment with Expansion projects.				
Extreme Flood Protectio	n (Q _{P100})			
Extreme Flood Protectio Standard Applicable?		Waiver (if No is selected):		
		Waiver (if No is selected): The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storr	noff does not exce	
Standard Applicable?	🔿 Yes 🖲 No	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur	noff does not exce	
Standard Applicable? Standard Met with HCM? STP used: Pre-develop	Yes No	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storr harge rate (cfs)	noff does not exce	
Standard Applicable? Standard Met with HCM? STP used: Pre-develop Pre-routed, post-develop	Yes No	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storn harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs)	noff does not exce	
Standard Applicable? Standard Met with HCM? STP used: Pre-develop	Yes No	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storn harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs)	noff does not exce	
Standard Applicable? Standard Met with HCM? STP used: Pre-develop Pre-routed, post-develop Routed, post-develop	Yes No No No ment peak disc ment peak disc	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storr harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs)	noff does not exco m event.	eed pre development peak
Standard Applicable? Standard Met with HCM? STP used: Pre-develop Pre-routed, post-develop Routed, post-develop <u>Modeling Info:</u> When demons	Yes No No No ment peak disc ment peak disc ment peak disc trating Q _{P100} cor	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storn harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs)	noff does not exco m event. e the following CN	eed pre development peak N and T _c below, if the
Standard Applicable? Standard Met with HCM? STP used: Pre-develop Pre-routed, post-develop Routed, post-develop <u>Modeling Info:</u> When demons practice used to meet Q _{P100} is	Yes No No No ment peak disc ment peak disc ment peak disc trating Q _{P100} con trating Tier 1 prac	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storr harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs)	noff does not exco m event. e the following CN the reduction in	eed pre development peak N and T _c below, if the runoff volume achieved
Standard Applicable? Standard Met with HCM? STP used: Pre-develop Pre-routed, post-develop Routed, post-develop <u>Modeling Info:</u> When demons practice used to meet Q _{P100} is	Yes No No No ment peak disc ment peak disc ment peak disc trating Q _{P100} con trating Tier 1 prac	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storr harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs) npliance in a hydrologic model, use tice. The CN _{Adj} takes into account	noff does not exco m event. e the following CN the reduction in	eed pre development peak N and T _c below, if the runoff volume achieved
Standard Applicable? Standard Met with HCM? STP used: Pre-develop Pre-routed, post-develop Routed, post-develop <u>Modeling Info:</u> When demons practice used to meet Q _{P100} is through runoff reduction prac Pre-Development CN (Flow-	Yes No No No ment peak disc ment peak disc ment peak disc trating Q_{P100} con- trating T is c	The extreme standard has not bee ensure post development peak rur runoff for the 100 yr, 24 hour storr harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs) harge rate (cfs) mpliance in a hydrologic model, use tice. The CN _{Adj} takes into account alculated by the watershed lag me	noff does not exce m event. the following CN the reduction in thod using CN _{Adj} 0.0	eed pre development peak N and T _c below, if the runoff volume achieved as CN'.